

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/790,253	AGUERA Y ARCAS, BLAISE
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Peter-Anthony Pappas	2671

All Participants:

(1) Peter-Anthony Pappas.

Status of Application: Pending

(3) _____.

(2) Leslie Garmaise.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 16 June 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

16,25,40,45

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant was asked to verify the respective parent claims for claims 16, 25, 40 and 45. Applicant indicated that claim 16 should be dependent upon claim 14, claim 25 dependent upon claim 24, claim 40 dependent upon claim 39 and claim 45 dependent upon claim 39.